

REMARKS

The Examiner has requested an amended version of the abstract for the present application. Such an amended version having 150 words is provided herein.

Additionally, it is requested that the additional claim amendments hereinabove be entered. Such claim amendments are described as follows:

1. All instances of the word “estimator” has been replaced with the term “estimation determiner” so that the term “location estimation determiner” is used consistently (and properly whether singular or plural) throughout the claims instead of the terms “estimator” or “location estimator”. It is believed that this change more clearly recites the patentable subject matter intended by the claims. This amendment applies to the following claims: 85, 88, 90, 91, 94- 96, 106, 107, 113, 115, 116, 119, 142, 180, 186, 202, 258, 312, 313, 334, 444, 446, 450 - 453, 468, and 511 - 514. Accordingly, the terms “estimator” and “location estimator” are no longer recited in the claims.

2. In Claim 85, two “wherein” clauses immediately following the “receiving” step have been amended as follows:

“wherein, when available, the first location related information includes at least a first geographical indication for a location of the mobile station **M**;

wherein, when available, the second location related information includes at least a second geographical indication for the location of the mobile station **M**;

These amendments are consistent with and further clarify the “receiving” step which states that:

“said location estimation determiners provide different geographical indications of an unknown location of said mobile station **M** when said location estimation determiners are supplied with corresponding input data obtained using wireless signal measurements obtained by transmissions between said mobile station **M** and the communication stations”.

3. Claim 95 has a dangling “J” at the end thereof which is now requested to be deleted.
4. In Claim 140, the step of “receiving” has been changed to a step of “obtaining”, and in Claim 412 (as well as its dependent Claim 414), the steps of “first receiving” and “second receiving” have been changed to “first obtaining” and “second obtaining”. Note that corresponding “obtaining” steps are provided in, e.g., Claims 90, 97 (step 2), 98, 99 (“first obtaining” and “second obtaining” steps),

118 (“first obtaining” and “second obtaining” steps), 126 (“first obtaining” and “second obtaining” steps), 137 (“first obtaining”, “second obtaining” and “third obtaining” steps). Thus, the present amendments provide further consistency between claims.

5. In Claims 142 and 180, the word “location” has been added to obtain the phrase “location estimation determiners” thereby making these claims consistent with the amendments in (1) above.
6. In Claims 454, 457, 459, and 460, the terms “location evaluator” and “location evaluators” have been replaced, respectively, with the terms “location processing technique” and “location processing techniques”. It is believed that this change more clearly recites the patentable subject matter intended by the claims.
7. In Claim 502, a single space has been inserted to change “ofa location” to “of a location”.
8. In Claim 517, the duplicate of the text “wherein,” has been removed.

Accordingly, since all claims are believed to be in condition for allowance, it is requested that the present application be reconsidered. It is believed that no fees are due with the current After Allowance Amendment as discussed in the initial statements of this correspondence. If any other fees are due, the undersigned Applicant requests a phone call at 303-863-2975.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 1, 2010

By: /Dennis J. Dupray/

Dennis J. Dupray

1801 Belvedere Drive

Golden, Colorado 80401

(303) 863-2975